

ARM support in the Linux kernel



Thomas Petazzoni **Free Electrons** thomas.petazzoni@free-electrons.com



CTO and Embedded Linux engineer at Free Electrons

- Embedded Linux development: kernel and driver development, system integration, boot time and power consumption optimization, consulting, etc.
- Embedded Linux training, Linux driver development training and Android system development training, with materials freely available under a Creative Commons license.
- We're hiring!
- http://free-electrons.com
- Contributing the kernel support for the new Armada 370 and Armada XP ARM SoCs from Marvell (widely used in NAS devices).
- Major contributor to Buildroot, an open-source, simple and fast embedded Linux build system
- Living in Toulouse, south west of France



- Background on the ARM architecture and Linux support
- The problems
- Changes in the ARM kernel support
- Getting the support for a SoC in mainline, story of Armada 370/XP

From the ARM architecture to a board



From the ARM architecture to a board, examples





Schematic view of a board





- Beyond the ARM core itself, a lot of freedom is left to the SoC vendor.
- There is no standard for the devices, the management of clocks, pinmuxing, IRQ controllers, timers, etc.
 - Note: some things like IRQ controllers and timers are now standardized.
- There is no mechanism to enumerate the devices available inside the SoC. All devices have to be known by the kernel.

"Old" ARM code organization in the Linux kernel

arch/arm/

arch/arm/{kernel,mm,lib,boot}/

The core ARM kernel. Contains the code related to the ARM core itself (MMU, interrupts, caches, etc.). Relatively small compared to the SoC-specific code.

arch/arm/mach-<foo>/

The SoC-specific code, and board-specific code, for a given SoC family.

- arch/arm/mach-<foo>/board-<bar>.c. The board-specific code.
- drivers/

The device drivers themselves.

Issue #1: too much code, lack of review

- **Exploding number of ARM SoC**, from different vendors
- The historical maintainer, Russell King, got overflowed by the amount of code to review.
- Code started to flow directly from sub-architecture maintainers directly to Linus Torvalds.
- Focus of each sub-architecture teams on their own problems, no vision of the other sub-architectures.
- Consequences: lot of code duplication, missing common infrastructures, maintenability problems, etc.
- Linus Torvalds, March 2011: Gaah. Guys, this whole ARM thing is a f*cking pain in the ass.

Issue #2: the need for multiplatform kernel

- On x86 PC, one can build a single kernel image (with many modules) that boots and work on all PCs
- ► Good for distributions: they can ship a single kernel image.
- On ARM, it was impossible to build a single kernel that would boot on systems using different SoCs.
- Issue for distributions: they have to build and maintain a kernel image almost for each ARM hardware platform they want to support.
- ▶ Need for **ARM multiplatform support** in the kernel.

Change #1: arm-soc and maintainers

- A new maintainer team for the ARM sub-architectures: Arnd Bergmann (Linaro) (currently replaced by Kevin Hilman) and Olof Johansson (Google)
- All the ARM SoC-specific code goes through them, in a tree called arm-soc



- They send the changes accumulated in arm-soc to Linus Torvalds.
- Those maintainers have a cross-SoC view: detection of things that should be factorized, consistency accross SoC-specific code.
- Core ARM changes continue to go through Russell King.
- Role of the Linaro consortium

Change #2: Before the Device Tree... (1)

- Most devices inside an ARM SoC and on the board cannot be dynamically enumerated: they have to be statically described.
- The old way of doing this description was by using C code, registering platform_device structures for each hardware device. Each board was identified by a unique machine ID passed by the bootloader.
- This code represented a significant portion of the code in arch/arm/mach-<foo>.

Change #2: Before the Device Tree... (2)

```
From arch/arm/mach-at91/at91sam9263_devices.c
```

```
static struct resource udc resources[] = {
        [0] = {
                .start = AT91SAM9263_BASE_UDP,
                .end = AT91SAM9263_BASE_UDP + SZ_16K - 1,
                .flags = IORESOURCE MEM.
        }.
        [1] = {
                .start = NR IROS LEGACY + AT91SAM9263 ID UDP.
                        = NR IROS LEGACY + AT91SAM9263 ID UDP.
                end
                .flags = IORESOURCE_IRQ,
        Ъ.
}:
static struct platform_device at91_udc_device = {
                        = "at91 udc".
        name
        .id
                        = -1.
        .dev
                        = {
                                .platform data
                                                        = &udc data.
        Ъ.
                        = udc_resources,
        .resource
        .num resources = ARRAY SIZE(udc resources).
}:
some_init_code() {
        platform device register(&at91 udc device);
}
```



- This has been replaced by a hardware description done in structure separated from the kernel, called the **Device Tree**.
 - Also used on PowerPC, Microblaze, ARM64, Xtensa, OpenRisc, etc.
 - Not invented specifically for Linux: was part of the OpenFirmware standard used on PowerPC.
- The Device Tree Source, in text format, gets compiled into a Device Tree Blob, in binary format, thanks to the Device Tree Compiler.
 - Sources are stored in arch/arm/boot/dts
- ► At boot time, the kernel parses the *Device Tree* to instantiate the available devices.
- Can also be used by other platform software than Linux: the U-Boot and Barebox bootloaders have started using it as well.

Change #2: SoC Device Tree example

```
/include/ "skeleton.dtsi"
/ {
        compatible = "brcm, bcm2835";
        model = "BCM2835";
        interrupt-parent = <&intc>;
        chosen {
                bootargs = "earlyprintk console=ttyAMAO";
        };
        soc {
                compatible = "simple-bus":
                #address-cells = <1>;
                #size-cells = <1>;
                ranges = <0x7e000000 0x20000000 0x02000000>:
                [...]
                intc: interrupt-controller {
                        compatible = "brcm, bcm2835-armctrl-ic";
                        reg = <0x7e00b200 0x200>;
                        interrupt-controller:
                        #interrupt-cells = <2>:
                };
                uart@20201000 {
                        compatible = "brcm,bcm2835-pl011", "arm,pl011", "arm,primecell";
                        reg = <0x7e201000 0x1000>;
                        interrupts = <2 25>;
                        clock-frequency = <3000000>;
                        status = "disabled";
                };
        }:
1:
```

Change #2: Board Device Tree example

```
/dts-v1/;
/memreserve/ 0x0c000000 0x04000000;
/include/ "bcm2835.dtsi"
```

```
/ {
    compatible = "raspberrypi,model-b", "brcm,bcm2835";
    model = "Raspberry Pi Model B";
    reg = <0 0x100000000;
    };
    soc {
        uart@20201000 {
            status = "okay";
        };
    };
};</pre>
```

 \sim Change #2: Device Tree inheritance



Change #2: Booting with a Device Tree

Without Device Tree

ulmage
Kernel C code includes platform_device registration and board details from many boards. Board selected through machine ID passed by bootloader.
U-Boot# bootm <kerneladdr></kerneladdr>

With Device Tree



Change #2: The notion of *DT binding*

- The idea of the Device Tree is that it should be a data structure that represents the hardware.
 - It should not be specific to Linux.
 - It should not contain *configuration*, but only *hardware description*
- The Device Tree becomes part of the kernel ABI. The kernel must remain capable of using old Device Trees.
- Device Tree bindings are the description of a particular entry of the Device Tree to represent a specific device (or set of devices).
 - Due the ABI stability requirement, they must be very carefully designed.
 - ► A specific mailing list, and a team of maintainers has been assigned to review those bindings.
 - Documentation/devicetree/bindings/
 - Increase in complexity?

Change #3: Multiplatform kernel

- Fits the need of distributions willing to build a single kernel image that works on many ARM platforms.
- The SoC choice now contains a Allow multiple platforms to be selected option, and all the SoC families that are compatible with this can be compiled together in the same kernel.
 - ► There is still a split between ARMv4/ARMv5 on one side, and ARMv6/ARMv7 on the other side.
- A lot of changes have been done in the ARM kernel to make this possible: avoid two different platforms from defining the same symbol, from using the same header names, no more #ifdef but runtime detection instead.
- The support for all new SoCs must use the multiplatform mechanism.

Change #4: Pinctrl subsystem, introduction



Change #4: Pinctrl subsystem, old code 4

- Each ARM sub-architecture had its own pin-muxing code
- The API was specific to each sub-architecture
- A lot of similar functionality implemented in different ways
- The pin-muxing had to be done at the SoC level, and couldn't be requested by device drivers

Change #4: Pinctrl subsystem, new subsystem





- In a System-on-Chip, all peripherals are driven by one or more clocks.
- Those clocks are organized in a tree, and often are software configurable.
- Since quite some time, the kernel had a simple API: clk_get, clk_enable, clk_disable, clk_put that were used by device drivers.
- Each ARM sub-architecture had its own implementation of this API.
- Does not work for **multiplatform** kernels.
- Does not allow **code sharing**, and common mechanisms.

Change #5: Common clock framework

- A proper common clock framework has been added in kernel 3.4, released in May 2012
- ► This framework:
 - Implements the clk_get, clk_put, clk_prepare, clk_unprepare, clk_enable, clk_disable, clk_get_rate, etc. API for usage by device drivers
 - Implements some basic clock drivers (fixed rate, gatable, divider, fixed factor, etc.) and allows the implementation of custom clock drivers using struct clk_hw and struct clk_ops
 - Allows to declare the available clocks and their association to devices in the Device Tree (preferred) or statically in the source code (old method)
 - Provides a *debugfs* representation of the clock tree
 - Is implemented in drivers/clk

Change #5: Common clock framework architecture



Change #6: More things in drivers/

 Another goal of the ARM cleanup is to have less code in arch/arm and create proper drivers and related infrastructures.

For example

IRQ controller driversdrivers/irqchip/Timer driversdrivers/clocksource/PCI host controller driversdrivers/clocksource/Clock driversdrivers/pci/host/Pinmux driversdrivers/clk/Memory driversdrivers/pinctrl/Bus driversdrivers/memory/drivers/bus/drivers/bus/





Size in bytes of the source code, in the following directories: drivers/clocksource, drivers/irqchip, drivers/pinctrl, drivers/clk, arch/arm/boot/dts.



IRQ controller driver driver driver / arch/arm/include/debug drivers/irqchip/ drivers/irqchip/ drivers/irqchip/ driver / arch/arm/include/debug

Total: 10 patches



Armada 370/XP, Linux 3.7



arch/arm/mach-mvebu/

Total: 35 patches





Total: 99 patches





Total: 58 patches





Total: 57 patches





Total: 66 patches





Total: 92 patches

Getting an ARM SoC in mainline

- Throw away the vendor BSP code. Most likely it is completely crappy. You have to start from scratch.
- Start small, and send code piece by piece. Don't wait to have everything fully working.
- Comply with the latest infrastructure changes: Device Tree, clock framework, pinctrl subsystem. They are mandatory.
- Read and post to the LAKML, Linux ARM Kernel Mailing List
- Listen to reviews and comments, and repost updated versions regularly.
- Look at recently merged sub-architectures: highbank, mvebu, sunxi, bcm2835, socfpga, etc.



Over the last year, ARM has gone from a constant headache every merge window to an outstanding citizen in the Linux community

Linus Torvalds, August 2012

Questions?

Thomas Petazzoni

thomas.petazzoni@free-electrons.com

Thanks to Gregory Clement, Ezequiel Garcia (Free Electrons, working with me on Marvell mainlining), Lior Amsalem and Maen Suleiman (Marvell)

Slides under CC-BY-SA 3.0 http://free-electrons.com/pub/conferences/2013/kernel-recipes/armsupport-kernel/